

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/717,555	11/21/2000	Peter W. Austin	Peter W. Austin COMP:0133/van P00-3172 1699	
7:	590 07/01/2005	EXAMINER		
INTELLECT	UAL PROPERTY ADM	CHANG, YEAN HSI		
LEGAL DEPA	RTMENT, M/S 35			
P.O. BOX 272400 FT. COLLINS. CO 80527-2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2835	

DATE MAILED: 07/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	ition No.	Applicant(s)	- FIC			
Office Action Summary		09/717	,555	AUSTIN ET AL.				
		Examin	er	Art Unit				
		Yean-H	si Chang	2835				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appears on (	the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence ad	dress			
THE - External after of the control	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN ensions of time may be available under the provision of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com- e period for reply specified above is less than thirty of period for reply is specified above, the maximum of ure to reply within the set or extended period for rep- preply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. us of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no umunication. (30) days, a reply within the setatutory period will apply and usy will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a reply b statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS application to become ABAND	e timely filed  days will be considered timely from the mailing date of this coonsidered (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		·						
1)  🏹	Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on <i>13 June 2005</i>	•	•				
2a)☐		2b)⊠ This action is						
3)□		/		prosecution as to the	merits is			
-,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	<ul> <li>✓ Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>✓ Claim(s) 1-13,22-32,34 and 35 is/are allowed.</li> <li>✓ Claim(s) 14-18 and 33 is/are rejected.</li> <li>✓ Claim(s) 19-21 is/are objected to.</li> <li>✓ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>							
Applicat	ion Papers		•					
10)	The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected	e: a) accepted or ection to the drawing(s ag the correction is requ	) be held in abeyance. uired if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CF	* *			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority  2. Certified copies of the priority  3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internations  See the attached detailed Office actions	y documents have be y documents have be s of the priority documental onal Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in Appli ments have been rec dule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National	Stage			
Attachmer	nt(s)			·				
1) 🛛 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Sumn	nary (PTO-413)				
2)	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Ma		)-152)			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 6/13/05 has been entered.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 14-18 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Womble et al. (US 5,726,922).

Womble teaches a hard drive securing system (fig. 1) comprising: a plurality of guides (36) secured to a chassis (12), a hard drive carrier (10) held by a first plurality of guides (54, fig. 2) and configured to support a plurality of hard drives (26), and a

Application/Control Number: 09/717,555

Page 3

Art Unit: 2835

securing lever mechanism (142, fig. 7) operable to secure the plurality of hard drives to the hard drive carrier (see col. 9, lines 1-4) (claim 14); wherein the securing lever mechanism has a guide portion (near 30) configured to receive a portion of a hard drive (76) when the securing lever mechanism is in a first position (shown in fig. 8C) (claim 15); wherein a first hard drive is secured when the securing lever mechanism is rotated to a second position (shown in fig. 8A) (claim 16); wherein the securing lever mechanism is operable to rotate from the first position to the second position (shown in figs. 8A and 8C) (claim 17); wherein the securing lever mechanism comprises a latch (154b) to secure the securing lever mechanism when the securing lever mechanism is in the second position (claim 18); and wherein the securing lever mechanism is configured to move inside the chassis (shown in figs. 1 and 7) (claim 33).

# Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 1-13, 22-32 and 34-35 are allowed.
- 5. Claims 19-21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The best prior art of record, Cooke et al. (US 5,112,119), Womble et al. (US 5,726,922), and Kikinis (US 5,539,616), taken alone or in combination, fails to teach or fairly suggest: a computer system comprising: a hard drive securing mechanism

Application/Control Number: 09/717,555

Page 4

Art Unit: 2835

including a rotatable lever having a tapered guide configured to receive and secure a protruding member of a hard drive, wherein the tapered guide is further configured to rotate about the protruding member as set forth in claim 1; a hard drive securing system comprising a securing lever mechanism being configured with a cam to drive a hard drive carrier as the securing lever mechanism rotated from a first position to a second position as set forth in claim 19; a method of securing a plurality of hard drives to a computer chassis, comprising rotating a first hard drive into position between a first restraint and a securing lever before deploying a second hard drive between a second restrain and a carrier configured to direct the movement of the second hard drive, and rotating the securing lever to simultaneously secure the first hard drive by the first restrain and the laver and the second hard drive by the carrier and the second restrain as set forth in claim 22; and a rotatable lever for securing a hard drive, comprising a plurality of guides, each being configured to receive a protruding member of a hard drive when the rotatable lever is in a first position and to restrict the protruding member when the rotatable lever is in a second position different from the first position as set forth in claim 28. Claims 2-13 and 32, 20-21, 23-27 and 34, 29-31 and 35 are dependent claims from claims 1, 19, 22, and 28, respectively.

### Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 14 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/717,555

Art Unit: 2835

Correspondence

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 8.

examiner should be directed to Yean-Hsi Chang whose telephone number is (571) 272-

2038. The examiner can normally be reached on 07:30 - 16:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Art Unit

phone number is (571) 272-2800, ext. 35. The fax phone number for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3431 for regular

communications and for After Final communications. There are RightFax numbers and

provide the fax sender with an auto-reply fax verifying receipt by the USPTO: Before-

Final (703-872-9318) and After-Final (703-872-9319).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-

8558.

Yean-Hsi Chang Primary Examiner

Art Unit: 2835

June 27, 2005